UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/554,705 | 10/27/2005 | Hiroyasu Taguchi | Q75540 | 9228 |
| 23373 SUGHRUE MI | 7590 07/01/200 ON, PLLC | EXAMINER | | |
| 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. | | | BASTIANELLI, JOHN | |
| | SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3753 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 07/01/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---|--|
| | 10/554,705 | TAGUCHI ET AL. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | John Bastianelli | 3753 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. mely filed I the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 J This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under the second se | s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro | |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-10 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | own from consideration. or election requirement. er. | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 December 2008</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receiv nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | ion No ed in this National Stage |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | ate |

Application/Control Number: 10/554,705 Page 2

Art Unit: 3753

DETAILED ACTION

Request for Continued Examination

The request filed on June 19, 2009 for a Request for Continued Examination
 (RCE) is acceptable and an action on the RCE follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 4-5, 7, and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fukuzawa et al. US 5,755,261.

Fukuzawa discloses an apparatus having a sealing part 6, which comprise a halogenfree resin a polyphenylene sulfide (PPS) resin (seen to be halogen free as it is not
mentioned to include halogens) and the sealing part comprises a sealing part body and
an abutting material 11 capable of imparting sealing property by abutting against said
sealing part body, and at least the abutting part against the sealing part body of said
abutting material comprises a ceramic selected from the group consisting of alumina.

PPS inherently has a Rockwell hardness of R30 to R150. The apparatus is seen to be
a cylinder valve (it is contained in a cylinder, a flow controller (valve controls fluid) and is
seen to be a line valve. Regarding claim 10, the independent claim 1 is an apparatus
claim and since claim 10 is a method is not carried to carry substantial patentable

Application/Control Number: 10/554,705 Page 3

Art Unit: 3753

weight as the device is capable of functioning as feeding a high-purity ammonia gas without deteriorating the gas purity.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-2, 4-5, 7, and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fukuzawa et al. US 5,755,261 in view of Kimura et al. US 20030162870.

Fukuzawa lacks specifically mentioning that the PPS resin is halogen-free. Kimura teaches resins including PPS are to be halogen-free for environmental conservation. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the PPS resin of Fukuzawa halogen-free as disclosed by Kimura in order to protect the environment.

6. Claims 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fukuzawa et al. US 5,755,261 in view of Borland US 5,474,105.

Fukuzawa lacks a separate cylinder valve, pressure regulator, flow controller, line filter, and line valve. Borland discloses a separate cylinder valve 60 or 40, pressure regulator 40, flow controller 60 or 40, line filter 34, and line valve 60 or 40. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use

Application/Control Number: 10/554,705

Art Unit: 3753

a separate cylinder valve, pressure regulator, flow controller, line filter, and line valve as disclosed by Borland in the apparatus of Fukuzawa in order to more accurately control and clean the fluid.

Page 4

- 7. Claims 1-2 and 4-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Borland et al. US 5,474,104 in view of Kimura et al. US 20030162870. Borland discloses an apparatus having a sealing part and/or a gas contacting part 66 or 42, which has a resin and a sealing part, which comprises a sealing part body 66 or 42 and an abutting material 70 or 54 capable of imparting sealing property by abutting against said sealing part body, wherein said sealing part body has a resin, and at least the abutting part against the sealing part body of said abutting material comprises a stainless steel. Borland lacks the resin being halogen-free. Kimura discloses a halogen-free resin made of PPS or phenol. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the material of Borland out of halogen-free resin of PPS or phenol as disclosed by Kimura in order to protect the environment. Halogen-free resins of PPS or phenol inherently have a Rockwell surface hardness of R30-R150, it has a cylinder valve 60 or 40, a pressure regulator 40, a flow controller 60 or 40, a line filter 34, and a line valve 60 or 40. The method is seen as practiced by the apparatus as it does not deteriorate the gas purity.
- 8. Claims 1-2 and 4-7 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beaver et al. US 5,149,105 in view of Kimura et al. US 20030162870. Beaver discloses an apparatus having a sealing part and/or a gas contacting part 56, which has a halogen-free resin and a sealing part, which comprises a sealing part body

Application/Control Number: 10/554,705

Art Unit: 3753

56 and an abutting material 22 capable of imparting sealing property by abutting against said sealing part body, wherein said sealing part body has a material, and at least the abutting part against the sealing part body of said abutting material comprises a stainless steel, a cobalt alloy, a highly corrosion-resistant nickel alloy or a ceramic selected from the group consisting of alumina, aluminum nitride and silicon carbide (col. 3, line 66-col. 4, line 10). Beaver lacks the material made of halogen-free resin. Kimura discloses a halogen-free resin made. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the material of Beaver out of halogen-free resin as disclosed by Kimura in order to protect the environment. Halogen-free resins of PPS or phenol inherently have a Rockwell surface hardness of R30-R150. Beaver discloses a cylinder valve, a pressure regulator 40, a flow controller, and a line valve. The method is seen as practiced by the apparatus and

Page 5

9. Claim 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beaver et al. US 5,149,105 in view of Kimura et al. US 20030162870 in view of Borland et al. US 5,474,104.

is used to for flow of hazardous/corrosive materials which ammonia is.

Beaver lacks a line filter. Borland discloses a line filter 34. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the line filter as disclosed by Borland in the valve of Beaver in order to remove contaminants from the fluid.

10. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fukuzawa et al. US 5,755,261 in view of Floh et al. US 2004/0045605.

Art Unit: 3753

Fukuzawa lacks ammonia gas as the fluid. Floh discloses the fluid being ammonia gas. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the fluid of Fukuzawa with ammonia gas as disclosed by Floh in order to be able to safely valve a variety of fluids.

11. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fukuzawa et al. US 5,755,261 in view of Kimura et al. US 20030162870 in view of Floh et al. US 2004/0045605.

Fukuzawa lacks ammonia gas as the fluid. Floh discloses the fluid being ammonia gas. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the fluid of Fukuzawa with ammonia gas as disclosed by Floh in order to be able to safely valve a variety of fluids.

12. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beaver et al. US 5,149,105 in view of Kimura et al. US 20030162870 in view of Floh et al. US 2004/0045605.

Beaver discloses flow of hazardous/corrosive fluids but lacks specifically ammonia gas as the fluid. Floh discloses the fluid being ammonia gas. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the fluid of Beaver with ammonia gas as disclosed by Floh in order to be able to safely valve a variety of fluids.

13. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Borland et al. US 5,474,104 in view of Kimura et al. US 20030162870 in view of Floh et al. US 2004/0045605.

Borland lacks ammonia gas as the fluid. Floh discloses the fluid being ammonia gas. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the fluid of Borland with ammonia gas as disclosed by Floh in order to be able to safely valve a variety of fluids.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Bastianelli whose telephone number is (571) 272-4921. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (8-6:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on (571) 272-4777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

John Bastianelli

Application/Control Number: 10/554,705 Page 8

Art Unit: 3753

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3753

/John Bastianelli/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3753